THEODICY AND CIVILIZATIONAL SUFFERING: A THEOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION OF HISTORICAL CATASTROPHES

Dr. Imran Shahzad

Department of Religious Studies, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Keywords: *Theodicy, Civilizational Suffering, Historical Catastrophes, Divine Justice, Theological Interpretation.*

Abstract: *This article explores the concept of theodicy in relation to the suffering experienced by civilizations throughout history, seeking to interpret historical catastrophes through a theological lens. Theodicy, often defined as the attempt to reconcile the existence of a benevolent and omnipotent deity with the prevalence of evil and suffering in the world, provides a framework for understanding the immense social, cultural, and political upheavals that have shaped human history. By examining key historical events such as wars, plagues, natural disasters, and genocides, this study delves into how various religious traditions have sought to interpret these crises and the role of divine providence in their resolution. The article critically assesses theological responses to civilizational suffering, considering the implications for contemporary global crises, and proposes a nuanced approach to reconciling divine justice and human suffering. Through this analysis, the paper aims to contribute to ongoing debates on the role of religion in addressing societal trauma and its potential to offer hope and resilience in the face of existential challenges.*

INTRODUCTION:

Theodicy is a central theme in theological discourse, primarily concerned with reconciling the existence of a benevolent and omnipotent God with the undeniable presence of suffering in the world. Throughout history, civilizations have endured significant suffering in the form of wars, famines, plagues, and natural disasters. These events have raised profound theological questions about divine justice and human suffering. The article explores how various religious traditions have responded to such crises, providing a theological framework for understanding the apparent contradiction between divine goodness and the prevalence of suffering.

1. The Concept of Theodicy:

Definition and Historical Development of Theodicy:

Theodicy is a branch of theology that seeks to reconcile the existence of evil and suffering with the belief in a benevolent and omnipotent God. The term "theodicy" was coined by the German philosopher and theologian Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz in the 17th century, from the Greek words theos (God) and dike (justice). Theodicy, as a field of study, is concerned with defending God's justice in the face of the apparent contradiction presented by the existence of evil and suffering in the world.

The historical development of theodicy can be traced back to ancient theological and philosophical discourses. In early Christianity, the notion of evil was often explained through the fall of humanity, rooted in the story of Adam and Eve's disobedience in the Garden of Eden. The theological perspective was that evil entered the world as a consequence of free will give to human beings by God. However, with the emergence of theodicy as a formal field, philosophers and theologians began addressing more complex questions about why a good God would permit such widespread and seemingly pointless suffering.

Leibniz's famous work Essays on Theodicy (1710) further developed the idea, arguing that God, being omniscient and omnipotent, created the "best of all possible worlds," and that evil exists only as a necessary counterpart to the greater good. This perspective laid the foundation for later discussions on the justification of suffering in relation to divine providence.

Key Theological Figures and Their Contributions:

1. **Augustine of Hippo**: Augustine, one of the most influential Christian theologians, is often credited with developing a foundational understanding of theodicy in the Western Christian tradition. In his work The City of God (426 CE), Augustine tackled the problem of evil by arguing that evil is not a substance or entity but rather a privation of good. He believed that God, being wholly good, did not create evil; instead, evil arose as a result of the misuse of free will by humans and angels. According to Augustine, evil entered the world through the fall of Adam and Eve, and the suffering that followed was a result of their choices.

   Augustine's view emphasized the idea of original sin, where humanity's fall from grace brought suffering and death into the world. However, he also held that God's grace and mercy, as expressed through Jesus Christ's sacrifice, offer redemption from evil and suffering. For Augustine, God's ultimate plan is to bring about a greater good that justifies the existence of evil and suffering in the world.

2. **Leibniz**: Leibniz, in his Essays on Theodicy, proposed the idea of the "best possible world." He argued that God, being omniscient and omnipotent, has created the world with the greatest possible balance between good and evil, even if it may appear to humans as unjust or unnecessary. For Leibniz, the existence of evil is a necessary part of a larger divine plan, which humans cannot fully comprehend due to their limited perspective. In his view, some evil is permitted because it ultimately serves a greater good or greater plan that leads to the best possible outcomes for the universe as a whole.

   Leibniz's concept of "the best possible world" challenged the more pessimistic views of suffering prevalent in his time and became a cornerstone of the optimism associated with the Enlightenment. His philosophical optimism suggested that even the suffering caused by natural disasters, wars, and other catastrophes contributes to the overall harmony of the universe, even though it may not seem so in the immediate context.

3. **Immanuel Kant**: Kant, in his works Religion within the Bounds of Bare Reason (1793), brought a more critical approach to theodicy. While Kant agreed that the existence of evil and suffering posed a challenge to religious faith, he argued that human beings must strive to create moral order in the world through the exercise of free will. Kant's theodicy differed from Leibniz's in that it focused more on moral evil (i.e., human choices) rather than natural evil. He believed that the existence of evil allows humans to exercise moral freedom, and thus evil becomes a means to achieve moral development and spiritual growth.

4. **John Hick**: In the 20th century, John Hick presented the soul-making theodicy, which posited that evil and suffering are necessary for spiritual growth. According to Hick, God allows evil to exist in order to provide humans with the opportunity to freely choose to develop virtues such as compassion, courage, and patience. In his view, a world without suffering would prevent the development of these essential moral qualities, and thus God permits suffering as part of a larger, divine plan for human flourishing.

The Problem of Evil: Logical and Evidential Perspectives:

The problem of evil is central to theodicy and is typically divided into two main approaches: the logical problem of evil and the evidential problem of evil.

1. **Logical Problem of Evil**: The logical problem of evil is often associated with the philosopher Epicurus and later formulated by David Hume and J.L. Mackie. This version of the problem argues that the existence of evil is logically incompatible with the existence of an all-powerful, all-knowing, and all-good God. The logical problem asserts that if God is omnipotent, He should be able to prevent evil; if God is omniscient, He should know about all evil; and if God is omnibenevolent, He should want to prevent evil. Therefore, the existence of evil and suffering presents a logical contradiction to the existence of such a God.

   The classical response to the logical problem of evil is that free will is necessary for moral goodness. Free will allows humans to choose between good and evil, and the possibility of moral evil is an inherent part of a world in which true moral responsibility exists.

2. **Evidential Problem of Evil**: The evidential problem of evil is more concerned with the degree and distribution of evil in the world rather than its logical incompatibility with theism. The evidential problem asserts that the existence of large-scale and seemingly gratuitous evil (such as natural disasters, genocides, and widespread suffering) provides strong evidence against the existence of an all-good, all-powerful God. The presence of such evil suggests that either God does not exist or that God is not as benevolent and omnipotent as traditionally believed.

   A typical response to the evidential problem of evil is the greater good defense, which asserts that the suffering observed in the world serves a larger divine purpose that humans may not fully understand. This view holds that the presence of evil and suffering may be necessary for the development of virtues, for the free choices of humans, or for some other good that justifies the existence of evil in the world.

The concept of theodicy remains a significant area of theological inquiry, particularly in its attempts to reconcile the problem of evil with the belief in a benevolent and omnipotent God. The contributions of key figures such as Augustine, Leibniz, and Hick have shaped various responses to the suffering that has been part of human history. Whether viewed through the lens of free will, the best possible world, or moral growth, theodicy continues to provide a

framework for understanding the enduring human struggle with suffering, providing both theological insights and practical responses to the challenges posed by evil.

2. Civilizational Suffering in History:

Overview of Major Historical Catastrophes:

Throughout history, civilizations have experienced catastrophic events that have left deep and lasting impacts on their societies. These events, often marked by immense suffering, have shaped not only the course of history but also the cultural, religious, and philosophical responses to the problem of suffering.

1. **World Wars**: The two World Wars, particularly World War I (1914-1918) and World War II (1939-1945), stand as monumental examples of human suffering on a global scale. The wars led to the deaths of millions of people, widespread displacement, economic devastation, and the breakdown of social order in many parts of the world. The Holocaust during World War II, in which six million Jews and millions of others were murdered by Nazi forces, is one of the most horrific episodes of genocide in human history. The aftermath of the wars sparked questions about the nature of evil, divine justice, and the limits of human cruelty, which became central themes in theological and philosophical reflections on suffering.

   These global conflicts led to the rise of post-war ideologies and the establishment of international institutions like the United Nations, which aimed to prevent future atrocities. The suffering caused by the wars reshaped global politics and provided a backdrop for modern theological and existential discussions on human suffering, theodicy, and the responsibility of nations in preventing such catastrophes.

2. **The Black Death (1347-1351)**: The Black Death, a devastating pandemic caused by the bubonic plague, swept across Europe, Asia, and North Africa in the 14th century, killing an estimated 75-200 million people. The scale of the death toll and the rapid spread of the disease challenged the religious and cultural worldviews of the time. The pandemic was perceived as both a punishment from God and a mysterious, inexplicable event, forcing many to seek divine explanations for the suffering they were enduring.

   In response to the plague, religious leaders and communities invoked the idea of divine wrath, with some believing that the disease was a punishment for sin, while others saw it as a trial that would purify the faithful. The fear and suffering also gave rise to new religious movements, some of which sought to explain the catastrophe as part of a divine plan, while others questioned the existence of a benevolent God in the face of such widespread suffering. The Black Death also spurred social and economic upheavals, leading to shifts in power, class structures, and cultural attitudes towards life and death.

3. **The Partition of India (1947)**: The Partition of India in 1947 was a significant event in South Asian history, leading to the creation of two separate nations, India and Pakistan. The division, based on religious lines, caused widespread violence, forced migration, and the deaths of an estimated one to two million people. The trauma of partition, marked by communal riots, massacres, and the displacement of millions, became a defining moment in the cultural and religious identities of both nations.

   The suffering during the partition not only reshaped the political landscape of South Asia but also influenced religious and cultural identity. The violence and displacement created deep-rooted divisions between Hindu, Muslim, and Sikh communities, leading to a legacy

of religious tension and conflict that continues to shape the region. The partition was often viewed as a divine test or punishment, with religious leaders interpreting the event through the lens of divine will, while others saw it as a tragic consequence of colonial policies and the inability to peacefully coexist across religious lines.

The Role of Suffering in Shaping Cultural and Religious Identities:

Suffering, in many ways, has played a pivotal role in shaping the cultural and religious identities of civilizations. Throughout history, communities that have experienced significant suffering—whether through wars, natural disasters, or systemic oppression—have developed collective narratives that help make sense of their trauma and suffering. These narratives often become integrated into the cultural and religious fabric of the community, influencing not only how suffering is perceived but also how it is endured.

1. **Cultural Identity through Suffering**: In the wake of significant suffering, cultures have often forged new identities through resilience and solidarity. The collective experience of suffering, whether in the form of war, famine, or exile, has often led to the development of shared values, traditions, and symbols of survival. For instance, the Jewish diaspora, especially after the destruction of the Second Temple and the Holocaust, has built a cultural identity that emphasizes survival, perseverance, and memory. Similarly, African American culture, shaped by the brutal legacy of slavery and racial discrimination, has emphasized strength, resilience, and the creation of cultural practices (e.g., music, literature, and religious expressions) that honor their suffering while asserting their dignity.

2. **Religious Identity and Suffering**: Religious traditions have often provided frameworks for understanding and interpreting suffering. In Christianity, for example, the suffering of Jesus Christ on the cross is central to the faith, symbolizing the redemptive power of suffering and the promise of eternal life. Similarly, in Islam, the concept of suffering is often seen as a test from Allah, one that serves to purify the soul and lead to spiritual growth. The Hindu notion of karma offers another perspective, where suffering is understood as a consequence of past actions, both in this life and previous incarnations.

    In Buddhism, suffering (dukkha) is one of the Four Noble Truths, with the path to the cessation of suffering leading to enlightenment. Each of these religious traditions, among others, offers its followers a way to understand the causes of suffering and a path for transcending it, providing meaning and purpose in the face of immense pain and loss.

How Suffering Has Been Perceived as a Divine Test, Punishment, or Mystery:

1. **Divine Test**: In many religious traditions, suffering is seen as a test of faith and character. The Book of Job in the Hebrew Bible, for example, tells the story of a righteous man who suffers immensely at the hands of God as a test of his faith. Job's suffering is not caused by his sins but by God's desire to test his devotion. In Islam, suffering is similarly viewed as a test from Allah, with believers encouraged to endure hardship with patience and trust in God's wisdom. The idea of suffering as a test emphasizes spiritual growth, moral fortitude, and ultimate divine reward for those who persevere.
2. **Divine Punishment**: In contrast, many historical catastrophes have been interpreted as divine punishment for the sins or moral failings of a people or nation. The fall of empires, the destruction of cities, and plagues have often been seen as acts of divine wrath, meant to correct societal injustices or cleanse a community from moral corruption. For instance, the destruction of ancient civilizations like Sodom and Gomorrah, as narrated in the Bible, is framed as a divine punishment for rampant sinfulness. Similarly, the Black Death in Europe

was initially seen by many as God's punishment for sin, particularly for the perceived immorality of the church and clergy.

3. **Divine Mystery**: Lastly, suffering has often been perceived as a mystery that transcends human understanding. The concept of divine mystery suggests that while suffering exists, humans may never fully comprehend its purpose or meaning. In the theological traditions of existentialism, such as those seen in the writings of thinkers like Søren Kierkegaard and Friedrich Nietzsche, suffering is seen as a fundamental aspect of human existence that cannot be entirely explained by logic or religious doctrine. In such perspectives, suffering is an existential reality that forces individuals to confront their own mortality, meaning, and relationship with the divine.

Civilizational suffering, whether in the form of wars, plagues, or political upheavals, has had a profound impact on the course of history and the formation of cultural and religious identities. The interpretation of suffering through religious lenses—whether as a divine test, punishment, or mystery—has shaped not only the responses to such suffering but also the resilience and moral foundations of civilizations. By understanding how suffering has been perceived throughout history, we gain insight into the ways that human beings make sense of the most painful and challenging aspects of existence, seeking meaning, redemption, and hope in the face of profound adversity.

3. Theological Responses to Suffering:

Responses from Major Religious Traditions:

1. **Christianity**: In Christianity, suffering is often interpreted through the lens of Christ's own suffering on the cross. The crucifixion of Jesus is seen as the ultimate act of divine love and sacrifice, demonstrating God's willingness to endure suffering for the sake of humanity's redemption. This theology of suffering is embodied in the concept of redemptive suffering, where human suffering is not meaningless but can be united with the suffering of Christ for spiritual transformation.

   Christian theology also often views suffering as a result of original sin, where humanity's fall from grace has introduced evil and suffering into the world. The existence of suffering challenges believers to trust in God's providence, as seen in the writings of the Apostle Paul, who speaks of "suffering for the glory of God" (Romans 8:17). Moreover, suffering is seen as a test of faith, where God uses trials to shape and purify believers' character.

2. **Islam**: In Islam, suffering is understood as a test from Allah. It is seen as part of the divine plan that challenges believers to demonstrate patience (sabr) and gratitude (shukr) in the face of hardship. The Quran repeatedly emphasizes that trials and tribulations are meant to purify the soul and that Allah does not burden a soul beyond its capacity (Quran 2:286). Suffering in Islam is also linked to the concept of qadar (divine destiny), where everything, including human suffering, is predestined by Allah, but the way individuals respond to suffering influences their spiritual growth and ultimate salvation.

   Like Christianity, Islam acknowledges the reality of evil but emphasizes human responsibility in the form of free will, which allows individuals to choose good or evil. While suffering may come from the choices of others or from natural causes, it is not a reflection of divine injustice but rather a means for individuals to grow in virtue and deepen their relationship with Allah.

3. **Hinduism**: In Hinduism, suffering is largely interpreted through the lens of karma, the law of cause and effect. According to this view, suffering arises as a consequence of actions

taken in previous lives (reincarnation) or in the current life. The cycle of birth, death, and rebirth (samsara) is marked by suffering (dukkha), but this suffering can be transcended through spiritual practice, knowledge (jnana), and devotion (bhakti).

The concept of moksha, or liberation from the cycle of samsara, is central to Hindu responses to suffering. By performing good deeds, living a righteous life (according to dharma), and engaging in spiritual practices, individuals can mitigate the effects of karma and ultimately break free from suffering. Hindu philosophy also teaches that suffering can have a purifying effect on the soul, helping individuals to cultivate detachment from material desires and deepen their spiritual understanding.

4. **Buddhism**: Buddhism is perhaps the most explicit in addressing the nature of suffering, starting with the foundational Buddhist concept of dukkha—the inherent suffering that pervades human existence. The Buddha's Four Noble Truths outline that suffering is an inevitable part of life, that suffering arises from desire and attachment, that suffering can be ended, and that the path to ending suffering is through the Eightfold Path, which includes ethical conduct, meditation, and wisdom.

In Buddhism, suffering is seen as a consequence of attachment to the material world and the impermanent nature of existence. The path to liberation involves letting go of attachments and realizing the true nature of the self (anatta). Suffering is not viewed as divine punishment but rather as a natural result of the cycle of rebirth, which can be ended through spiritual awakening and the attainment of Nirvana.

Theological Perspectives on Divine Providence, Free Will, and the Nature of Evil:

1. **Divine Providence**: In many religious traditions, divine providence refers to God's governance of the universe, wherein God ensures that His will is carried out, even in the face of evil and suffering. In Christianity and Islam, divine providence suggests that God's will is ultimately for the good of creation, even if humans cannot always see or understand the larger divine plan. Suffering, in this context, can be seen as a means of testing faith or an instrument for divine justice.

In Hinduism and Buddhism, divine providence is often linked to the laws of karma and the understanding of cosmic order, where the universe operates according to inherent principles that govern justice and balance. The understanding is less about a personal deity's intervention and more about the law of cause and effect or the natural unfolding of reality.

2. **Free Will**: The concept of free will is central to understanding the theological interpretation of suffering. In Christianity and Islam, suffering is often explained as a consequence of human free will, particularly the misuse of free will in choosing evil over good. This is particularly prominent in the Christian doctrine of original sin, which holds that humanity's fall from grace is a direct result of Adam and Eve's exercise of free will in disobeying God. Free will, therefore, allows for the possibility of both good and evil, and suffering is a result of human choices.

In Hinduism, free will operates within the framework of karma. While individuals can exercise free will, their actions are influenced by past karma, and their current actions will impact future experiences. Free will, therefore, is not absolute but is constrained by the consequences of past actions.

Buddhism, however, teaches that human suffering is a result of attachment and desire, and while free will plays a role in choosing the path of suffering or liberation, it is primarily the attachment to the self and worldly desires that leads to suffering.

3. **The Nature of Evil**: The problem of evil has been a central concern in theology. In Christianity and Islam, evil is often understood as the result of the abuse of free will by humans and fallen angels. It is seen as a corruption of the good created by God, and the existence of evil is linked to the possibility of human moral responsibility. Evil, in this context, is not created by God but allowed by Him for the purpose of preserving human free will.

Hinduism and Buddhism, however, view evil more through the lens of ignorance (avidya) and attachment. In Hinduism, evil is the result of ignorance of the true self and attachment to the material world, which leads to suffering. In Buddhism, evil arises from ignorance of the true nature of existence and attachment to the self, and overcoming this ignorance is central to ending suffering.

Theodicy in the Context of Social Justice and Moral Responsibility:

Theodicy has also been applied in the context of social justice, particularly in the modern world. In the face of systemic injustice, inequality, and oppression, religious and theological perspectives on suffering often intersect with discussions on moral responsibility and social action.

For example, many contemporary theologians and religious leaders have used theodicy to address the suffering caused by social issues like poverty, racism, and human rights violations. They argue that suffering is not just an individual spiritual test but is also connected to broader societal structures that perpetuate injustice. Therefore, religious communities are often called to act against these injustices as a moral responsibility, embodying a theological response that emphasizes compassion, justice, and advocacy for the oppressed.

In Christianity, liberation theology has emerged as a theological response to social suffering, particularly in Latin America. It emphasizes the role of the church in advocating for the poor and oppressed, seeing social justice as a central component of God's kingdom on Earth. Similarly, Islamic scholars have framed the fight for social justice and equality as part of fulfilling the divine mandate to care for the marginalized and disadvantaged in society.

4. Theodicy and Contemporary Global Crises:

Application of Theodicy in the Context of Modern Catastrophes:

In modern times, theodicy is applied to address the suffering caused by global crises such as climate change, terrorism, and pandemics. These crises raise profound questions about the role of divine will in human suffering and how religious communities respond to such challenges.

1. **Climate Change**: The theological interpretation of climate change, as a source of widespread suffering, often involves questions about human responsibility and the stewardship of creation. Many religious traditions emphasize the importance of caring for the Earth, and climate change is seen as a consequence of human disregard for the environment. The theodicy of climate change is not necessarily about divine punishment but about human mismanagement of natural resources and the subsequent suffering of the most vulnerable populations.

2. **Terrorism**: Terrorism, as a form of human-inflicted suffering, often challenges religious and theological perspectives on evil. The violence and destruction caused by terrorism, such as the events of September 11, 2001, or ongoing conflicts in the Middle East, present a significant theological challenge. Theodicy in this context often focuses on the abuse of free will and the corrupting influence of ideologies that promote violence, with religious responses emphasizing reconciliation, peace-building, and forgiveness.

3. **Pandemics**: Pandemics, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, present another area where theodicy is applied. Religious responses to pandemics often focus on the concept of suffering as a test of faith, a call to collective responsibility, and a reminder of human vulnerability. The global nature of pandemics calls for a theological response that emphasizes solidarity, compassion, and moral responsibility to care for the sick and protect the vulnerable.

The Challenges of Addressing Global Suffering Through Theological Discourse:

Addressing global suffering through theological discourse presents significant challenges. One challenge is the difficulty of reconciling the scale of modern suffering, such as in the case of large-scale natural disasters or widespread human rights violations, with traditional theological explanations of suffering. Modern global crises often transcend individual actions and involve systemic issues that require collective solutions, making it difficult to apply traditional theodicy, which often focuses on individual suffering.

Another challenge is the pluralism of religious beliefs in the contemporary world. In a globalized society, there is a diversity of religious responses to suffering, and theological discourse must navigate the differences in how various traditions understand and interpret suffering. This requires interfaith dialogue and cooperation to address shared concerns, such as poverty, climate change, and conflict, while respecting the diversity of religious perspectives.

The Role of Faith-Based Organizations in Mitigating Suffering and Providing Hope:

Faith-based organizations (FBOs) play a critical role in mitigating suffering and providing hope in the face of global crises. These organizations, grounded in religious teachings, offer humanitarian aid, advocate for social justice, and provide spiritual support to those in need. Many FBOs are involved in disaster relief, refugee support, poverty alleviation, and health care services, offering practical responses to suffering while also addressing the spiritual and emotional needs of individuals.

In addition to their humanitarian work, FBOs often engage in public advocacy, using religious teachings to inspire action on issues such as climate change, human rights, and economic justice. Through their work, faith-based organizations contribute to a theological response to suffering that emphasizes compassion, solidarity, and moral responsibility.

Theodicy remains a central theological concern, particularly in the face of modern global crises. While religious traditions offer different perspectives on the causes and purposes of suffering, they also provide valuable resources for understanding and responding to global suffering. The application of theodicy in the context of contemporary challenges such as climate change, terrorism, and pandemics highlights the ongoing relevance of theological responses to suffering. Faith-based organizations continue to play an essential role in addressing both the material and spiritual dimensions of suffering, providing hope and advocating for social justice in an increasingly interconnected world.

Summary:

The article provides a comprehensive exploration of the relationship between theodicy and civilizational suffering, offering theological interpretations of historical catastrophes. By analyzing key events throughout history and the theological responses to them, the study highlights the significance of divine justice in shaping human understanding of suffering. Through this lens, the article argues for a more holistic approach to theodicy, one that incorporates both theological insights and practical strategies for addressing modern global crises. The paper concludes by emphasizing the ongoing relevance of theological reflection in providing resilience and hope in the face of suffering, and proposes that faith-based approaches can offer valuable resources for healing and social transformation.

References:

- Augustine of Hippo. The City of God. Translated by John O'Meara, Penguin Classics, 2003.
- Leibniz, G. W. Theodicy. Translated by E. M. Huggard, Open Court, 1998.
- Plantinga, Alvin. God, Freedom, and Evil. Eerdmans, 1974.
- Hick, John. Evil and the God of Love. Harper & Row, 1966.
- Swinburne, Richard. The Coherence of Theism. Clarendon Press, 1977.
- Milbank, John. Theology and Social Theory: Beyond Secular Reason. Blackwell Publishing, 2006.
- Jenson, Robert W. Systematic Theology: The Triune God. Oxford University Press, 1997.
- Rahner, Karl. Theological Investigations. Volume II. Darton, Longman & Todd, 1961.
- Ward, Keith. God: A Guide for the Perplexed. Continuum, 2002.
- Buber, Martin. I and Thou. Translated by Ronald Gregor Smith, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1958.
- Chopra, Deepak. The Seven Spiritual Laws of Success. Amber-Allen Publishing, 1994.
- Al-Ghazali, Abu Hamid. The Revival of the Religious Sciences. Translated by F. Rahman, Islamic Book Trust, 2003.
- Maimonides, Moses. The Guide for the Perplexed. Translated by M. Friedlander, Dover Publications, 2000.
- Whitehead, Alfred North. Process and Reality. Free Press, 1978.
- Kierkegaard, Søren. Fear and Trembling. Translated by Howard V. Hong and Edna H. Hong, Princeton University Press, 1983.
- Nietzsche, Friedrich. On the Genealogy of Morals. Translated by Walter Kaufmann, Vintage Books, 1967.
- Darwin, Charles. The Descent of Man. Princeton University Press, 1981.
- Armstrong, Karen. The Case for God. Knopf, 2009.
- Sacks, Jonathan. The Dignity of Difference: How to Avoid the Clash of Civilizations. Continuum, 2002.
- Nussbaum, Martha C. The Fragility of Goodness: Luck and Ethics in Greek Tragedy and Philosophy. Cambridge University Press, 2001.